EXHIBIT "C" State Court Pleadings

6/22/2015 8:00:00 AM

Velva L. Price District Clerk Travis County D-1-GN-15-002471

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-15-002471

JOSE NORBERTO VALDEZ, IN THE DISTRICT COURT § § Plaintiff, § v. § JUDICIAL DISTRICT § § MEDTRONIC USA, INC.; MEDTRONIC **INC.**; and **MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR** § DANEK USA, INC.; all d/b/a MEDTRONIC NEUROMODULATION, § Defendant. § TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

Plaintiff, JOSE NORBERTO VALDEZ respectfully comes before this Court and asserts the following factual allegations and causes of action against Defendants MEDTRONIC USA, INC, MEDTRONIC, INC., and MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK USA, INC., all d/b/a MEDTRONIC NEUROMODULATION.

I. DISCOVERY

Plaintiff pleads that discovery in this matter shall be conducted pursuant to Rule 190.3 (Level II) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

II. PARTIES

Plaintiff JOSE NORBERTO VALDEZ is an individual residing in, Austin, Travis County, Texas.

Defendant MEDTRONIC USA, INC., is a Minnesota Corporation with its principal office located at 710 Medtronic Parkway, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55432-5604. Defendant MEDTRONIC USA, INC., may be served with process through its Registered Agent, CT

Corporation, 350 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 2900, Dallas, Texas 75201. Defendant MEDTRONIC USA, INC., does business as MEDTRONIC NEUROMODULATION.

Defendant MEDTRONIC, INC., is a Minnesota Corporation with its principal office located at 710 Medtronic Parkway, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55432-5604. Defendant MEDTRONIC, INC., may be served with process through its Registered Agent, CT Corporation, 350 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 2900, Dallas, Texas 75201. Defendant MEDTRONIC, INC., does business as MEDTRONIC NEUROMODULATION.

Defendant MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK USA, INC., is a Tennessee Corporation with its principal office located at 2600 Sofamor Danek Drive, Memphis, Tennessee 38132. Defendant MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK USA, INC., may be served with process through its Registered Agent, CT Corporation, 350 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 2900, Dallas, Texas 75201. Defendant MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK USA, INC., does business as MEDTRONIC NEUROMODULATION.¹

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this lawsuit because the events making the basis of this lawsuit occurred in Austin, Travis County, Texas and the resolution of this dispute requires the application of Texas law. The amount in controversy is within this Court's jurisdictional limit.

This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties. All the parties are either individual or corporate residents of the State of Texas, have sufficient minimum contacts with the State of Texas, and/or have purposefully availed themselves of the laws and markets of the State of Texas so as to not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

¹ All of the Medtronic entities identified will be collectively referred to as "Medtronic."

This Court is the proper venue to hear this lawsuit pursuant to Tex. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 15.002(a)(1)-(3), because the defective stimulator medical device was sold to Plaintiff in Austin, Travis County, Texas, and the torts were committed in Austin, Travis County, Texas.

IV. FACTS

Plaintiff has a history of chronic back pain dating back to 2006. While the pain did not debilitate him, it was bothersome. Plaintiff decided to seek surgical intervention to address his chronic pain issues. On or about June 27, 2011, Plaintiff, JOSE NORBERTO VALDEZ, was implanted with a neurostimulator medical device designed and manufactured by Medtronic. The procedure was performed by Dr. Daniel Peterson, of Austin, Brain and Spine, at University Medical Center Brackenridge in Austin.

According to Medtronic, a "neurostimulator" medical device is a surgically placed device about the size of a stopwatch. It delivers mild electrical signals to the epidural space near your spine through one or more thin wires, called leads. Medtronic states that neurostimulation provides pain relief by blocking the pain messages before they reach the brain. According to Medtronic, the device has a reliable life span of nine (9) years. Specifically, Medtronic alleges:

While other manufactures may state that their batteries have a longevity greater than 9 years, it's important to understand that many other factors and components are involved in determining the overall longevity of an implanted medical device. The result of extensive design and testing involved in manufacturing rechargeable neurostimulators give Medtronic confidence that our device is reliable for 9 years. To achieve this distinction, Medtronic rigorously verified and validated the many components that impact device longevity, not just the battery. The result is a rechargeable neurostimulator that delivers reliable performance over the entire period of predicted service.²

² Medtronic Neurostimulator features and specifications.

Medtronic first received FDA pre-market approval³ for the RestoreSensor device in 1984. Since the initial 1984 PMA, Medtronic has submitted 285 PMA supplements regarding the device.⁴ In September of 2013, Medtronic issued a public notification titled: "Urgent: Medical Device Correction – Loss of Stimulation and Over Stimulation." Medtronic has also issued a recall of the neurostimulator it sold to Plaintiff for "overstimulation or stimulation in the wrong area."

Furthermore, the neurostimulator is offered under the premise that "if you no longer need the neurostimulator or change your mind about the treatment, your doctor can turn off or surgically remove the system at any time." Mr. Valdez relied on these express warranties in deciding to purchase and install the RestoreSensor in his body.

After the device was implanted, Plaintiff freely ambulated and engaged in physical activities with little pain or discomfort. Plaintiff jogged, exercised, and generally had no complaints. However, roughly two years later, in the fall of 2013, Plaintiff's stimulator began experience charging malfunctions. Plaintiff had gone to Mexico on a family vacation and left his charger at home. When he returned, the device would not maintain a charge and thus was not properly working. Plaintiff began to feel severe pain in his legs and back and sought medical intervention. The device also sporadically began shocking the Plaintiff's spine, or as Medtronic put it in their "urgent notice," overstimulating.

On one occasion, Plaintiff was resting peacefully in bed when he was zapped by his device even though it was in the "off" position. Plaintiff later stated that the device frequently was switched to "off" and then would switch on by itself and deliver an electrical pulse. Plaintiff

4 http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?id=26870.

^{3 &}quot;PMA"

⁵ http://professional.medtronic.com/pt/neuro/scs/ind/product-advisories/index.htm.

⁶ https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfRes/res.cfm?id=122448.

⁷ Medtronic website

began living in fear, never knowing when he would receive an electrical zap from the device in his body. Due to these developments, Sarah Sexton, a Medtronic representative recommended the device be replaced.

Plaintiff presented back to Dr. Peterson who agreed to a revision surgery to remove and replace the original stimulator's leads and battery. Plaintiff underwent the revision surgery on February 5, 2014. In his post-operative notes, Dr. Peterson stated that the leads and battery were much more difficult to remove than anticipated, and thus, the surgery was more complicated than he foresaw. Dr. Peterson was forced to perform a laminotomy procedure above and below the original placement of the device due to complications with the old leads.

After the surgery, Plaintiff immediately experienced paralysis in his lower extremities and proprioceptive defects. Essentially he lost function in his lower extremities and experienced severe muscle fatigue and numbness in his legs. Prior to the surgery he was completely independent in activities of daily living. The Medtronic representative, Ms. Sexton, was promptly made aware of the injuries caused by the surgery.

Dr. Peterson performed another operation and removed the stimulator on February 7, 2014.

Medtronic's website specifically states that the neurotransmitter may be removed and that "your doctor can turn off or surgically remove the system at any time."

However, Plaintiff's stimulator could not be removed without causing catastrophic injuries. For all intents and purposes, the neurostimulator implanted in Plaintiff was of a permanent nature.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches of an express warranty, Plaintiff has suffered severe personal injuries and incurred the damages set forth below, for which he hereby sues.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

A. Count 1: <u>Breach of Express Warranty</u>

Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs by reference.

Medtronic warrants that the RestoreSensor will work reliably for up to nine (9) years.8

Medtronic warrants that the device (1) "[1]istens and senses when the patient changes position"; (2) "learns from previous experience and remembers the patient's last comfortable setting while upright (standing or sitting), lying down, or active in an upright position (e.g., jogging)"; and (3) "[r]esponds by automatically adjusting stimulation to the patient's optimal settings in each position."

Medtronic warrants that "if you no longer need the neurostimulator or change your mind about the treatment, your doctor can turn off or surgically remove the system at any time." 10

The Medtronic neurostimulator implant sold to Plaintiff and implanted into his spine did not conform to Medtronic's affirmations, promises, descriptions, and/or samples of the product. The specific device at issue in this case did not work reliably, and would administer a shock to the spine of Plaintiff in random intervals. These failures occurred far sooner than the nine year life-span indicated. When Plaintiff attempted to remove the device, the procedure caused catastrophic injury.

Medtronic breached the express warranties of their product to Plaintiff. Medtronic was promptly informed of the breaches and failed to honor the warranty, repair the device, or repair

10 Medtronic website

⁸ Medtronic Neurostimulator features and specifications.

⁹ Medtronic Neurostimulator features and specifications.

or compensate for the damages caused by the breaches. The laws and claims supporting the breach of warranty parallel 21 U.S.C.S. § 352(q) (a restricted device such as a neurostimulator is misbranded if it uses false or misleading advertising).

As a direct and proximate result, Plaintiff suffered economic injuries, severe personal injuries and related damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

B. Count 2: <u>Deceptive Trade Practices Act</u>

Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs once again, by reference. The actions of Defendants described above constitute a breach of warranty in violation of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices – Consumer Protection Act, (DTPA). Plaintiff is a consumer under the DTPA because he is an individual who sought and acquired goods or services by the Defendants through purchase. Defendants are corporations that can be sued under the DTPA. Defendants violated the DTPA when Defendants breached the express warranty outlined above, resulting in catastrophic injury to Plaintiff.

It was impractical for Plaintiff to give Defendants written notice under Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code 17.505(a), because Plaintiff needed to file suit in order to prevent the expiration of the statute of limitations. Therefore, written notice was not required in this case. The laws and claims supporting the breach of warranty parallel 21 U.S.C.S. § 352(q) (a restricted device such as a neurostimulator is misbranded if it uses false or misleading advertising).

As a result of the breach, Plaintiff has incurred damages as set forth below. Furthermore, the breaches of Medtronic were made knowingly, which entitles Plaintiff to additional damages under the DTPA.¹⁴

¹¹ Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.50(a)(2)

¹² U.S. Tire-Tech, Inc. v. Boeran, B.V., 110 S.W.3d 194, 197 (Tex. App. - Houston [1st Dist.] 2003, pet. Denied).

¹³ Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code 17.505(b).

¹⁴ Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.50(b)(1).

VI. DAMAGES

As a direct result of the actions of Defendants described above, Plaintiff JOSE NORBERTO VALDEZ has incurred, and will incur in the future the following damages:

- Medical treatment paid or incurred in the past;
- 2. Medical treatment which will be necessary in the future;
- 3. Past and future physical pain and suffering;
- Past and future physical impairment; 4.
- Disfigurement;
- Past and future mental anguish;
- Past and future lost wages; 7.
- Past and future loss of enjoyment of life and services;
- 9. Economic damages

Furthermore, Defendant acted knowingly which entitles Plaintiff to recover damages for mental anguish and additional treble damages under Texas law.¹⁵

Pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 47, Plaintiff seeks monetary relief of over \$1,000,000.00 in this case.

VII. ATTORNEY'S FEES

Furthermore, Plaintiff requests an award of reasonable and necessary attorney's fees in this suit. 16

 ¹⁵ Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.50(b)(1).
 16 Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.50(d).

VIII. REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

Under Rule 194 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff requests that Defendant disclose the information or material described in Tex. R. Civ. P. 194.2 within 50 days of service of this request.

X. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury. Plaintiff has deposited with the clerk of court the required fee and has complied with Tex. R. Civ. P. 216.

XI. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

All conditions precedent to Plaintiff's claim for relief have been performed or have occurred.

XII. PRAYER

Plaintiff prays that Defendant be cited according to law to appear and answer herein, and that upon final trial, Plaintiff have judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. An award of economic and actual damages as outlined above;
- 2. Treble damages;
- 3. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- 4. Court costs;
- 5. Attorney's fees; and
- 6. Any other relief the Court determines is just under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

THE MEYERSON LAW FIRM, P.C. 2224 Walsh Tarlton Lane, Suite 120 Austin, Texas 78746

Phone: (512) 330-9001 | Fax: (512) 330-9005

By:

JEFF M. MEYERSON, TSB No. 00788051 COLE GUMM, TSB No. 24088963 CHANCE WELDON, TSB No. 24076767 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET (REV. 2/13) D-1-GN-15-002471

98TH

CAUSE NUM	IBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):COURT (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):
STYLED	Jose Norberto Valdez v. Medtronic USA, Inc., Medtronic Inc., and Medtronic Sofamor Danek USA, Inc., all d/b/a Medtronic Neuromodulation
	(e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson)
civil case information	on sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filled to initiate a new civit, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filled in a family law case. The information should be the best available at the time of filling.

the time of filing.							
1. Contact information for perso	n completing case information she	et: Names of partie	s in case:	Perso	Person or entity completing sheet is:		
Name: Jeff M. Meyerson			Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s): Jose Norberto Valdez		XI Attorney for Plaintiff Petitioner □ Pro Se Plaintiff Petitioner □ Title IV-D Agency □ Other:		
Address: 2224 Walsh Tarlton Lane Suit	Telephone: e 120 512-330-9001		42		dditional Parties in Child Support Case:		
City/State Zip Austin, Texas 78746	Fax: 512-330-9005	Defendant(s) Re			al Parent:		
Signature:	State Bar No:	Medtronic Inc	mor Danek US.	A Inc.	Presumed Father:		
1 A 6 2 4 COM	00788051	1.0.0.0.0			Presumed Patrici		
at a mark to the policy of a straight			e as necessary to list al	in batales	A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF		
2. Indicate case type, or identity	the most important issue in the car Civil	se (select only 1):	Mary Control of the Control	Fa	mily Law		
CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	CIVII	NATIONAL PROPERTY OF	ACT MADERA	Emally desirable	Post-judgment Actions		
Contract	Injury or Damage	Real Property	Marris	ege Relationship	(non-Title IV-D)		
Debt-Contract Consumer/DTPA Debt-Contract Fraud/Misrepresentation Other Debt-Contract: Foreclosure Home Equity—Expedited Other Foreclosure	Assault/Battery Construction Defamation Malpractice Accounting Legal Medical Other Professional Liability:	☐ Eminent Domain/ Condemnation ☐ Partition ☐ Quiet Title ☐ Trespass to Try Title ☐ Other Property	□Annu □Decla <i>Divorce</i> □Wi	lment ue Marriage Void	□ Fnforcement □ Modification — Custody □ Modification — Other Title IV-D □ Enforcement/Modification □ Paternity □ Reciprocals (UIFSA) □ Support Order		
Franchise Insurance Landlord/Tenant Non-Competition Partnership Other Contract	Motor Vehicle Accident Premises Product Liability Asbestos Silica Other Product Liability List Product: Other Injury or Damage	Related to Crimina Matters Expunction Judgment Nisi Non-Disclosure Scizure/Forfeiture Writ of Habeas Corpu Pre-indictment Other:	Othi	er Family Law ree Foreign ment cas Corpus ce Change cetive Order oval of Disabilities linority cr:	Parent-Child Relationship Adoption/Adoption with Termination Child Protection Child Support Custody or Visitation Gestational Parenting Grandparent Access Parentage/Paternity Termination of Parental		
Employment	Other	Civil			Rights Other Parent-Child:		
□ Discrimination □ Retaliation □ Termination □ Workers' Compensation □ Other Employment:		Lawyer Discipline Perpetuate Testimony Securities/Stock Tortious Interference Other:	_				
Tax		Prohate	& Mental Hea	lth			
☐ Tax Appraisal ☐ Tax Delinquency ☐ Other Tax	ration	☐Guardianship—Adult ☐Guardianship—Minor ☐Mental Health ☐Other:					
Appeal from Municipal or Jus Arbitration-related Attachment Bill of Review Certiorari Class Action 4. Indicate damages sought (do	mot select if it is a family law case): damages of any kind, penalties, cos nonctary relief than \$200,000	tory Judgment ment ader nus Igment	interest, and attor	Turnover			

CITATION THE STATE OF TEXAS

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-15-002471

JOSE NORBERTO VALDEZ

, Plaintiff

of Travis County, Texas

VS.

MEDTRONIC USA, INC.; MEDTRONIC INC.; AND MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK USA, INC.; ALL D/B/A MEDTRONIC NEUROMODULATION

, Defendant

TO: MEDTRONIC INC DBA MEDTRONIC NEUROMODULATION BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT, CT CORPORATION 350 N. ST. PAUL STREET, SUITE 2900 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201

Defendant, in the above styled and numbered cause:

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 A.M. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you. be taken against you.

Attached is a copy of the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE of the PLAINTIFF in the above styled and numbered cause, which was filed on JUNE 2015 in the 98TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of Travis County, Austin, Texas.

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at office, June 23

REQUESTED BY: JEFFREY MARK MEYERSON 2224 WALSH TARLTON LN., STE. 120 AUSTIN, TX 78746

BUSINESS PHONE: (512)330-9001 FAX: (512)330-9005



Travis County District Clerk Travis County Courthouse

1000 Guadalupe, P.O. Box 679003 (78767)

Velva L. Price

Austin, TX 78701

ii ³	PREPARED BY: GLASSON SHAUN
RETUR	N
Came to hand on the day of,	at o'clockM., and
executed at	within the County of
on theday of	,, at o'clockM.,
by delivering to the within name.	, each
in person, a true copy of this citation together with	
FOR DISCLOSURE accompanying reading, having first atta	
of pleading and endorsed of such copy of citation the	date of delivery.
Service Fee: \$	Sheriff / Constable V Authorized Person
Sworn to and subscribed before me this the	CTURN ATTAUR
day of,,	AUSTIN PROCESS, LLC Printed Name of 809740ECES AUSTIN, TX 78701
Notary Public, THE STATE OF TEXAS	County, Texas
D-1-GN-15-002471 SERVICE Original Service Copy	FEE NOT PAID P01 - 000031015

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

State of Texas

County of Travis

98th Judicial District Court

Case Number: D-1-GN-15-002471

Plaintiff:

Jose Norberto Valdez

VS.

Defendant:

Medtronic USA, Inc., Medtronic, Inc. and Medtronic Sofamor Danek USA, Inc., All D/B/A Medtronic Neuromodulation

For:

The Meyerson Law Firm, P.C. 2224 Walsh Tarlton Lane Ste. 120 Austin, TX 78746

Received by Austin Process LLC on the 24th day of June, 2015 at 10:23 am the served on Medtronic, Inc. DBA Medtronic Neuromodulation by serving its Registered Agent, CT Corporation, 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900, Dallas, TX 75201.

I, Nicole M. Hybner, being duly sworn, depose and say that on the 29 hay of June, 2015 at 9:40 am, I:

SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL served by delivering a true copy of the Citation and Plaintiff's Original Petition and Request for Disclosure with the date of service endorser thereon by me, to: Chris Wells, CT Corporation at the address of: 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900, Dallas, TX-7001, and informed said person of the contents therein, in compliance with state statutes.

Additional Information pertaining to this Service: 6/24/2015 10:28 am Sent CMRRR 7014 3490 0000 400 0710; Return Receipt #9590 9401 0006 5071 0365 24

I certify that I am over the age of 18, of sound mine have no interest in the above action, and am a Certified Process Server, in good standing, in the judicial recuit in which the process was delivered. The facts stated in this affadavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 1st day of July, 2015 by the affiant who is personally known to me.

DANE R CUPPETT My Commission Expires December 8, 2017

SCH-9631, Exp. 4/30/18

Austin Process LLC 809 Nueces Austin, TX 78701 (512) 480-8071

Our Job Serial Number: MST-2015003001

Ref: Valdez v. Medtronic, et al.

Copyright © 1992-2011 Database Services, Inc. - Process Server's Toolbox V6.4t

Case Number: D-1-GN-15-002471

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE 1: IS SECTION ON DELIVERY
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	A. Signatur
1. Article Addressed to: Medtronic, Inc c/o CT Corporation 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900 Dallas, TX 75201 Our Job No 3001	20. We Defice Valences different from item 1?
9590 9401 0006 5071 0365 24 2. Article Number (Transfer from Service label) 7014 3490 000 6400 0710	3. Service Type
PS Form 3811, Ap V 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	Domestic Return Receipt

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-15-002471																
	Н	E	S	Т	Α	Т	E		0	F		\mathbf{T}	E	Х	Α	5
				C	I	\mathbf{T}	A	\mathbf{T}	I	0	И					

of Travis County, Texas JUL - 2 2015

Filed in The District Court

JOSE NORBERTO VALDEZ

MEDTRONIC USA, INC.; MEDTRONIC INC.; AND MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK USA, INC,; ALL D/B/A MEDTRONIC NEUROMODULATION

, Defendant

, Plaintiff

TO: MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK USA INC DBA MEDTRONIC NEUROMODULATION BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT, CT CORPORATION 350 N. ST. PAUL STREET, SUITE 2900 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201

Defendant, in the above styled and numbered cause:

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 A.M. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and pertain, a default judgment may be taken against you.

Attached is a copy of the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE of the PLAINTIFF in the above styled and numbered cause, which was filed on JUNE 27, 2015 in the 98TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of Travis County, Austin, Texas.

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at office, June 23

REQUESTED BY: JEFFREY MARK MEYERSON 2224 WALSH TARLTON LN., STE. 120 AUSTIN, TX 78746 BUSINESS PHONE: (512)330-9001 FAX: (512)330-9005



Travis County Courthouse 1000 Guadalupe, P.O. Box 679003 (78767) Austin, TX 78701

Travis County District Clerk

PREPARED BY: GLASSON SHAUN

Velva L. Price

RETURN --____o'clock ____M., and Came to hand on the day of within the County of by delivering to the within name in person, a true copy of the citation together with the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND REQUEST

FOR DISCLOSURE accompanying leading, having first attached such citation to such copy such copy of citation the date of delivery. of pleading and endorse

Service Fee: \$ Sworn to and subscribed before me

Sheriff / Constable / Authorized Person

AUSTIN PROCESS, LLC 309 NUECES AUSTIN. TX 78701

Printed Name of Server

County, Texas

Notary Public, THE STATE OF TEXAS

D-1-GN-15-002471

__ day of _

SERVICE FEE NOT PAID

P01 - 000031016

Original

Service Copy

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

State of Texas

County of Travis

98th Judicial District Court

Case Number: D-1-GN-15-002471

Plaintiff:

Jose Norberto Valdez

VS.

Defendant:

Medtronic USA, Inc., Medtronic, Inc. and Medtronic Sofamor Danek USA, Inc., All D/B/A Medtronic Neuromodulation

For:

The Meyerson Law Firm, P.C. 2224 Walsh Tarlton Lane Ste. 120 Austin, TX 78746

Received by Austin Process LLC on the 24th day of June, 2015 at 10:23 am to be served on Medtronic Sofamor Danek USA, Inc. DBA Medtronic Neuromodulation by serving its Registered Agent, CT Corporation, 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900, Dallas, TX 75201.

I, Nicole M. Hybner, being duly sworn, depose and say that on the 29 by day of June, 2015 at 9:40 am, I:

SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL served by delivering a true copy of the Citation and Plaintiff's Original Petition and Request for Disclosure with the date of service endorse thereon by me, to: Chris Wells, CT Corporation at the address of: 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900, Dallas, TX 201, and informed said person of the contents therein, in compliance with state statutes.

Additional Information pertaining to this Service: 6/24/2015 10:28 am Sent CMRRR 7014 3490 0000 000 0727; Return Receipt #9590 9401 0006 5071 0365 31

I certify that I am over the age of 18, of sound min, have no interest in the above action, and am a Certified Process Server, in good standing, in the judicial recuit in which the process was delivered. The facts stated in this affadavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 2nd day of July, 2015 by the affiant who is personally known to me.

NOTARY PUBLIC

Mcole M. Hybne SCH-9631, Exp. 4/30/18

Austin Process LLC 809 Nueces Austin, TX 78701 (512) 480-8071

Our Job Serial Number: MST-2015003002 Ref: Valdez v. Medtronic, et al.

DANE R CUPPETT My Commission Expires December 8, 2017

vright @ 1992-2011 Database Services, Inc. - Process Server's Toolbox V6.4t

Case Number: D-1-GN-15-002471

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee by (Printed Name) ☐ Yes delivery address different from item 1? YES, enter delivery address below: Medtronic Sofamor Danek USA, Iac. Service Type ☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™ ☐ Adult Signature☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
 □ Return Receipt for Merchandise
 □ Signature Confirmation™ Certified Mail®

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Insured Mail
☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500) ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery Domestic Return Receipt

9590 9401 0006 5071 0365 31 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7014 3490 0000 000 0727 PS Form 3811, April 2015 P\$N 7530-02-000-9053

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

Print your name and address on the reverse

Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece,

c/o CT Corporation 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900 Dallas, TX 75201 Our Job No 3002

so that we can return the card to you.

or on the front if space permits.

Complete items 1, 2, and 3.

1. Article Addressed to:

CITATION

THE STATE OF TEXAS

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-15-002471

JOSE NORBERTO VALDEZ

vs.

MEDTRONIC USA, INC.; MEDTRONIC INC.; AND MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK USA, INC,; ALL D/B/A MEDTRONIC NEUROMODULATION

, Defendant

Plaintiff Clerk

P01 - 000031014

Filed in The District Court of Travis County, Texas

TO: MEDTRONIC USA INC DBA MEDTRONIC NEUROMODULATION BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT, CT CORPORATION 350 N. ST. PAUL STREET, SUITE 2900 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201

Defendant, in the above styled and numbered cause:

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 A.M. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and position, a default judgment may be taken against you.

Attached is a copy of the <u>PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE</u> of the <u>PLAINTIFF</u> in the above styled and numbered cause, which was filed on <u>JUNE 17</u>, 2015 in the <u>98TH JUDICIAL</u> <u>DISTRICT COURT</u> of Travis County, Austin, Texas.

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at office, June 23 2015.

REQUESTED BY: JEFFREY MARK MEYERSON 2224 WALSH TARLTON LN., STE. 120 AUSTIN, TX 78746

D-1-GN-15-002471

Original

BUSINESS PHONE: (512)330-9001 FAX: (512)330-9005

Service Copy



PREPARED BY: GLASSON SHAUN

Travis County District Clerk Travis County Courthouse

1000 Guadalupe, P.O. Box 679003 (78767)

Velva L. Price

Austin, TX 78701

RETURN	l			
Came to hand on the day of,,	at _	o'clock	M., and	
executed at		within the Count		
on theday of		, at	o'clock	М.,
by delivering to the within name				each
in person, a true copy of this citation together with th	ne PLAINT	IFF'S ORIGINAL E	PETITION AND REC	DUEST
FOR DISCLOSURE accompanying preading, having first attac	ched such	copy of such ci	tation to such	сору
of pleading and endorsed such copy of citation the da	ate of de	livery.		
Service Fee: \$	Sherriff	CHED!	thorized Person	
Sworn to and subscribed before me this the	NAIL			
day of, SERVIOL		AUSTIN PROCES BOS NUECES AUSTAN SEXVERT		
Notary Public, THE STATE OF TEXAS			County,	Texas

SERVICE FEE NOT PAID

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

State of Texas

County of Travis

98th Judicial District Court

Case Number: D-1-GN-15-002471

Plaintiff:

Jose Norberto Valdez

VS.

Defendant:

Medtronic USA, Inc., Medtronic, Inc. and Medtronic Sofamor Danek USA, Inc., All D/B/A Medtronic Neuromodulation

For:

The Meyerson Law Firm, P.C. 2224 Walsh Tarlton Lane Ste. 120 Austin, TX 78746

Received by Austin Process LLC on the 24th day of June, 2015 at 10:23 am the served on Medtronic USA, Inc. DBA Medtronic Neuromodulation by serving its Registered Agent, CT Corporation, 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900, Dallas, TX 75201.

I, Nicole M. Hybner, being duly sworn, depose and say that on the 29 way of June, 2015 at 9:40 am, I:

SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL served by delivering a true copy of the Citation and Plaintiff's Original Petition and Request for Disclosure with the date of service endorser thereon by me, to: Chris Wells, CT Corporation at the address of 1999 Prop. Stand Ctc. 200 Pall and Table 200 at the address of: 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900, Dallas, TX-7001, and informed said person of the contents therein, in compliance with state statutes.

Additional Information pertaining to this Service: 6/24/2015 10:28 am Sent CMRRR 7014 3490 0000 0703; Return Receipt #9590 9401 0006 5071 0365 17

I certify that I am over the age of 18, of sound mine have no interest in the above action, and am a Certified Process Server, in good standing, in the judicial recuit in which the process was delivered. The facts stated in this offedouit are within my personal leaves of the process was delivered. affadavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 1st day of July, 2015 by the affiant who is personally known to me.

DANE R CUPPETT My Commission Expires December 8, 2017

Nícole M. Hybner SCH-9631, Exp. 4/30/18

Austin Process LLC 809 Nueces Austin, TX 78701 (512) 480-8071

Our Job Serial Number: MST-2015003000 Ref: Valdez v. Medtronic, et al.

Copyri © 1992-2011 Database Services, Inc. - Process Server's Toolbox V6.4t Case Number: D-1-GN-15-002471

	. 6,13	
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS CYCTION ON	DELIVERY
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Medtronic USA, Inc c/o CT Corporation 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900 Dallas, TX 75201 Our Job No 3000	A. Signature X B. Acce Long June Name) D. Die Very Lately Spicifferent from Mr. YES, enter delivery address	☐ Agent ☐ Addressee C. Date of Delivery I I Yes below: ☐ No
9590 9401 0006 5077 93 65 17	3. Service Type □ Adult Signature □ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery Certified Mail® □ Certified Mail® □ Certified Mail® □ Collect on Delivery	☐ Priority Mail Express®☐ Registered Mail™☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
2 Article Number <i>(Transfer from service label</i>) 7014 3490 0000 6400 0703	☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Insured Mail ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)	☐ Signature Confirmation™☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery
PS Form 3811, April 2015 N 7530-02-000-9053		Domestic Return Receipt
Chicial		